

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	EIDCT MAN INC. OF THE		
09/392,254		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/09/1999	YASUO YAMANAKA	0557-4758-3	9859
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER UHLIR, NIKOLAS J	
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		DATE MAILED: 10/25/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/392,254	YAMANAKA ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nikolas J. Uhlir	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe					
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the period of extension and the corresponding to the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding to the filed is the date of					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. \times I he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attached sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): none.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is drawn to the non-entered amendment.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the proposed amendment of t	7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: none					
Claim(s) objected to: none.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-19,21-24 and 30-41</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Art Unit: 1773

Continuation of boxes 2(a) and 2(c):

The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues that require further search and/or consideration to determine patentability. Specifically, the proposed amendment would enter into the claims a limitation requiring a pair of imperfect transfer portions to be formed on the same side as the reference portion. This limitation was not earlier presented and requires further search and/or consideration to determine patentability. Further, as the p[proposed amendment would enter a new limitation into the claims that was not earlier presented, it does not materially simplify the issues for appeal.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nikolas J. Uhlir whose telephone number is 571-272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

niu

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER